Fear is a very poor travel companion: Ethics and Covid 19

My wife and I have just started watching a TV Series from Spain (with voice overs in English) that tells a story of hunting Nazi's who had escaped justice and were living the high life in Spain. In the movie we heard the phrase: 'Fear is a very poor travel companion'. This seems to sum up the last 18 months so well. We have a world of fear, unwarranted and so over-sold fear, but a fear that has been so cleverly and insidiously used by authoritarian figures seeking power and control.

We were both struck by the thought that there may be a future, only a few years from now, when the modern-day equivalent of these Nazis are hunted and brought to justice. And who are these modern-day socialists and totalitarians? Those pushing vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, masks and lockdowns.

Socialism has been tried over the last century in at least 25 countries and in all it has been an abject failure, with North Korea and Venezuela being very recent and prime examples¹.

The Covid Plandemic seems to be just another weapon in the arsenal of extreme, Nazi style socialists who sadly have convinced a significant portion of the world's population that their tyranny is for the good of all.

Before masks were even proposed for the Covid Plandemic there were many good research studies that highlighted their ineffectiveness, and worse that suggest that prolonged use will lead to many health problems such as early onset dementia (see Appendix).

While the evidence was not as well documented with respect to lockdowns, there was still some clear evidence highlighting their ineffectiveness and this has only grown over the last 18 months (see Appendix for some research on Lockdowns). But the immoral and unethical reality of vaccine mandates screams out to any who are well-versed in ethical considerations and not blinded by fear, seriously, ridiculously unwarranted fear!

While the leaders of this Great Reset² may not all be known at this time, some are already well known, but what is also well known is how many politicians have been duped into being their foot soldiers.

So perhaps when this Plandemic is finally fully revealed as the serious fraud and evil that it is, perhaps we will see many seeking justice in some form as the modern-day version of the Nazi's are exposed.

While a Canadian Professor of Philosophy specializing in Ethics was recently fired for teaching how totally unethical all these covid restrictions are³, it seems these modern-day Nazis can always find someone to push their evil and unethical approach. An example⁴ here in Australia is Stephanie Collins, who is an Associate Professor in the Dianoia Institute of Philosophy at Australian Catholic University.

An article published very recently on the ABC News site (a seriously Leftist organisation that we tax-payers here in Australia are forced to fund) by Stephanie Collins shows just how easily even ethicists can be deluded and confused, perhaps by false fears.

Here is just a couple of paragraphs from the article interspersed with some commentary from me:

"... With vaccines mandated for everything from construction work to hairdresser appointments — under roadmaps to re-opening laid out by Victoria and New South Wales — some people perceive the right to bodily integrity as under threat."

Comment: 'some people'! The very fact that she can write 'some people' only shows how deluded she and the great populace is, as all people should believe they have the right to decide what is injected into their bodies without coercion and with fully informed consent.

¹ For details see the books by Dinesh D'Sousa, such as the Big Lie: Exposing the Nazi Roots of the American Left

² Klaus Schwab of World Economic Forum for example

³ Julie Ponesse, a Philosophy Professor specializing in ethics who until recently taught at the University of Western Ontario in Canada

⁴ https://www.abc.net.au/religion/stephanie-collins-the-price-of-mandatory-vaccination/13566704

"In particular, they perceive a blurring of the line between, on the one hand, policies that incentivise individuals towards making particular bodily choices (think cigarette taxes and free condoms), and, on the other hand, policies that violate the ability of individuals to make any genuine bodily choices at all."

Comment: Here she at least shows that she has some understanding of the ethical issue that she is about to ignore, but her choices for comparison are not as simple and useful to her cause as she may realise. It took many years for us to realise that cigarettes were harmful and that they were very addictive. Increasing taxes on them was a totalitarian approach that disproportionally impacts the poorest and most economically disadvantaged. Such forced authoritarian approaches only lead to black-markets and other nefarious methods that actually tend to lead otherwise upright and moral people whom are addicted to choose immoral and unethical remedies.

And 'free condoms' don't incentivise the biblical injunction to keep sexual relationships within marriages, but rather it has the opposite effect, and also forces the tax-payer to fund the immoral lifestyles of others. When we let governments have anything but minimal control of our choices we invite socialism with all its inherent flaws of authoritarianism, etc.

Next, she writes:

"For many people, the offer "vaccination or unemployment" looks like violation, not incentivisation. The offer has the same perceived flavour as the highwayman's demand, "Your money or your life": it is perceived as coercive, exploitative, and ultimately rights-violating."

Comment: It is 'perceived'! NO! It is coercive, exploitative, and ultimately rights-violating!

"To be sure, vaccine hesitancy comes from a range of sources, most of which are wildly uninformed on the scientific facts, which show vaccines to be effective and without overly worrying side-effects."

Comment: I love (NOT) that term 'vaccine hesitancy' as it's another subtle use of semantics to try to classify those who reject the vaccine as just 'hesitant' because of imagined fear, rather than for the most part the most well-informed and educated people on the planet in terms of the dangers and adverse effects of the covid vaccines. Her 'facts' have seriously clouded her ethical judgement. Even if her 'facts' were in fact correct, her ethical conclusions are still wrong.

She concludes her false and flawed ethical argument with this statement: "But as democratic politics and health information struggle to overcome the sources of vaccine hesitancy, such an option is demanded by the solidarity we owe to all those in our society.".

That is, she argues that vaccine mandates are DEMANDED by her flawed 'common-good' understanding.

Brain surgeon and leading Intelligent Design advocate, Dr Michael Egnor writes instead that: "The denial of free will is the cornerstone of totalitarian systems."

Egnor elaborates:

"No free will means no justice: "Free will is the cornerstone of all human rights and the cornerstone of our Constitutional rights. The denial of free will is, literally, the denial of human freedom. Without free will, we are livestock, without the presumption of innocence, without actual innocence, and without rights. A justice system that has no respect for free will—a justice system in which human choices are diseases— is a system of livestock management applied to homo sapiens."

There is a very common but seriously flawed understanding that we should approach serious issues, including life and death issues from a perspective of the 'common good'. That is, that our authorities should choose to force on us, actions and regulations that they have decided are in our best interests as a community.

Yet this approach is not how the great progress of Western society has been achieved especially over the last 300 odd years. It has instead been based much more on individualism; the freedom of the individual to choose what is best for him or her and their children.

Western society has historical traditions that place a very high value on individual freedom, on personal rights, and on allowing each person to "do her own thing". Our culture views society as comprised of separate independent individuals who are free to pursue their own individual goals and interests without interference from others. In this individualistic culture it is difficult, perhaps impossible, to convince people that they should sacrifice some of their freedom, some of their personal goals, and some of their self-interest, for the sake of the "common good".

For example, American cultural traditions, in fact, reinforce that the individual who thinks that he/she should not have to contribute to the community's common good, but should be left free to pursue his/her own personal ends.

In fact, when a nation or society starts with the principle of the 'common good', they most closely resemble fascism or statism. That is, a society where the government does not totally own everything (communism), but a society where the government controls almost everything and especially the production of wealth. Fascism is a very common form of socialism, a system that has demonstratively failed in over 25 nation states over the last century alone.

When the government controls major businesses or corporations, the vision of fascism of Benito Mussolini is fulfilled.

Mussolini stated that "Fascism should more appropriately be called corporatism because it is a merger of state and corporate power."

"The state requiring that businesses require the vaccine from one of three large corporations that were propped up by the state is undoubtedly the merger of state and corporate power that Mussolini dreamed of." — 'Biden's Vaccine Fiat Forges a Fascist Pharma Corporate State' by Connor Mortell.

Clearly then, if we address the issue from an historical Western mindset and capitalistic rather than socialistic, fascist and tyrannical perspective, we would immediately reject the 'no jab, no job' mandates of vaccines and vaccine passports.

But when we look at the issue of mandated vaccines from an ethical perspective as well there are a number of very important questions that should be asked, some of which I have listed below.

Consider the government's messages with respect to the vaccine mandates as you read these questions.

1) Do the receivers of the message know that they are being persuaded rather than informed?

If not, then this is not really 'informed consent', which is an important principle with most medical procedures.

2) Has this persuasion taken unfair advantage of a power differential?

If you need to take the vaccine to keep your job, then clearly the answer to this question is yes.

3) Will the product or issue I am promoting cause harm to individuals or to society? Does this action conform to the ethical requirement to do no unnecessary harm or to prevent harm?

This is a massive issue because most of those with so-called 'vaccine hesitancy' are very informed and understand that the risks and dangers from the vaccines are very significant and substantial.

4) Has this action's potential negative impact on individuals and the common good been taken into account and responded to appropriately?

While the argument being made for the mandates may cite 'the common good', the reality is already very clear that all the measures being taken are having a very significant and negative impact on individuals with the number of teenagers with suicidal ideation growing massively as well as the number of actual suicides across many age groups⁵.

^{5 &}quot;…in Australia since March 2020, there have been 50% more deaths from suicide than from Covid-19." - https://www.aier.org/article/madness-in-melbourne/ and "5 Times More UK Children Died From Suicide Than COVID During Lockdowns" - https://www.visiontimes.com/2021/07/18/uk-lockdowns-5x-more-youth-suicide-than-covid.html

5) Have I unfairly targeted specific (or vulnerable) audiences and made claims outside of their ability to understand the context and underlying claims of the communication?

Clearly, this applies across the board as the true facts are being strongly suppressed, so that those who are unaware or do not have the requisite skills to source independent information for themselves are very clearly left in the dark and therefore unable to fully understand the context and very real risks involved.

6) Would I feel that the persuasion in this situation was fair, just, ethical and appropriate if it were communicated to me or to people I know and love? Am I doing to others what I would not want done to me or to people I care about?

If those in power and making these decisions are in no way risking their very livelihoods and the well-being of their families, then their decisions are clearly not fair, just and appropriate, let alone ethical.

I think these are just a few ethical questions that highlight the very significant problems with the argument that this is all for the 'common good'.

Of course, given that the vaccines are actually such a failure in so many ways, such ethical questions should not even need to be addressed, as honest and informed governments would totally reject such totally unethical, immoral and evil mandates.

Paul Herring www.circumcisedheart.info
October 2021

Appendix:

Masks:

First, some research from before Covid 19. This is research form 6 years ago (2015): https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0141076815583167

"... In many states, coronavirus infections strongly increased after mask mandates had been introduced..." - Swiss Policy Research group

For a slam dunk on the whole sad story that just shows that the mask mandate is purely political (so we can feel good if we remain ignorant) please see this article from the Swiss Policy Research group: https://swprs.org/face-masks-evidence/

https://pdmj.org/papers/masks false safety and real dangers part3/

For many months a medical professional has been telling me that the eyes are a 'mucus membrane' and therefore a potential entry point for viruses and that a mask without eye protection as well is just a waste of space. And a leading medical journal stated the same thing:

"... What is clear, however, is that universal masking alone is not a panacea. A mask will not protect providers caring for a patient with active Covid-19 if it's not accompanied by meticulous hand hygiene, EYE PROTECTION, gloves, and a gown," - the New England Journal of Medicine https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2006372 for the Journal article

https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/

More on the dangers of masks: https://www.australiansovereigntyalliance.com/post/the-danger-of-masks

⁶ Evil because I am very sure that those planning the Great Reset and their minions in Big Pharma are very well aware that the vaccines will do far more harm than good and kill a great many more people than the virus ever could or would have.

Registered Nurse Danika Bueno recently uploaded a YouTube video explaining why wearing a face mask for long periods can be harmful to the body.

Bueno begins the video by detailing how patients with respiratory alkalosis are instructed to breathe into a paper bag in order to fix the bloodstream's PH balance. Breathing into a paper bag is close to the same thing as wearing a face mask in that it alters the wearer's PH balance. Bueno also notes that most masks people are wearing are highly ineffective against viruses. In fact, she claims those who wear masks frequently are making themselves more prone to infection by making their bodies acidotic and by touching their faces more often than those who don't wear masks. Note: This video has been removed from Youtube (surprise, surprise!) but here it is on Bitchute: https://www.bitchute.com/video/3fWI4g37370j/

https://www.rcreader.com/commentary/masks-dont-work-covid-a-review-of-science-relevant-to-covide-19-social-policy - this article is from a retired Physicist.

A person calling himself a Logician (which is what a Physicist is as well) tries to debunk his argument but fails with what is his main claim that the mask protects you when it is on someone else who has a virus or flu! For this to help in any significant manner, people with no symptoms would need to have the virus in significant numbers and be capable of transmitting it - 10 million cases show that this is no so - https://theconservativetreehouse.com/2020/12/20/covid-19-study-of-almost-ten-million-finds-no-evidence-of-asymptomatic-spread-media-quiet/

"Wearing that mask is not protecting you and is ineffective against viruses. More importantly, your body has several ways to expel waste or toxins, one of which is exhalation. By breathing into a mask you are re- inhaling the very toxins and CO2 the body is trying to get rid of and by doing so you put your body into acidosis, creating protons which de-oxygenate instead of electrons which oxygenate. In other words you are suffocating yourself and actually lowering your immune system." - https://www.infowars.com/posts/100s-of-uk-medics-and-academics-urge-boris-johnson-covid-data-is-exaggerated-and-second-wave-talk-is-misleading/

https://davidicke.com/2020/10/16/german-neurologist-warns-against-wearing-facemasks-oxygen-deprivation-causes-permanent-neurological-damage-dr-margarite-griesz-brisson/

Breathe Free https://trance-formation.com/free-at-last/

World's Top Epidemiologists: Masks Don't Work! https://www.infowars.com/worlds-top-epidemiologists-masks-dont-work/

Dear Humans: Face Masks Don't Work; The Study-review was Published by Your Very Own CDC https://www.infowars.com/dear-humans-face-masks-dont-work-the-study-review-was-published-by-your-very-own-cdc/

Non pharmaceutical Measures for Pandemic Influenza in Nonhealthcare Settings—Personal Protective and Environmental Measures - https://www.c.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994 article https://www.infowars.com/suffocation-and-breakouts-face-masks-wreaking-havoc-on-human-body/ https://www.infowars.com/face-masks-mandated-by-uk-government-specifically-say-they-dont-protect-against-covid-19/

Official Account: Plandemic Documentary Series https://www.bitchute.com/channel/10jmxN0iuwte/

https://www.jpost.com/health-science/could-wearing-a-mask-for-long-periods-be-detrimental-to-health-628400 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23768438/

https://www.aier.org/article/medical-journal-warns-about-maskss-potentially-devastating-consequences/

Prof of Medicine at Stanford University, Jay Bhattacharya states that the evidence now establishes that masks are not effective, and that in many ways they are harmful! Also he argues that masks are causing a social division that is also unhelpful. Masking children is psychologically deeply damaging! There is no scientific evidence or logic to children wearing masks.

The latest evidence: https://swprs.org/the-face-mask-folly-in-retrospect/

Lockdowns:

Scott Atlas: ... Sure, this is one of the really horrifying but underemphasized truths of the cost of the lockdown. As we said in the article, for instance, 650,000 Americans have cancer and undergo chemotherapy right now, currently. Half of them stop getting their chemotherapy. "

- https://www.hoover.org/research/doctor-scott-atlas-and-efficacy-lockdowns-social-distancing-and-closings-1

'Lockdowns Do Not Control the Coronavirus: The Evidence' - AIER Staff – December 19, 2020 https://www.aier.org/article/lockdowns-do-not-control-the-coronavirus-the-evidence/

"After a year of strong economic downturn and substantial health costs, the intensity of lockdowns continues to be an influential factor in the economic and social life of low- and middle-income countries. Even if restrictions played a role early on, they had a one-off effect that would be hard to replicate going forward. This suggests that the heavy reliance on lockdowns as in the early stages of the pandemic may not be advised." - https://voxeu.org/article/declining-effectiveness-lockdowns

Vaccines:

Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi: "We can cast the dread of this Pandemic away and return to a wonderful world! - Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi is a Thai-German microbiologist who has been speaking out against the COVID-19 hysteria since the beginning of the crisis.

He was a post-doctoral researcher at the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics in Freiburg and at The Protein Laboratory in Copenhagen. Dr. Bhakdi joined the Institute of Medical Microbiology at Giessen University and named chair of Medical Microbiology at the University of Mainz.

Dr. Bhakdi has published over three hundred articles in the fields of immunology, bacteriology, virology, and parasitology, for which he has received numerous awards and the Order of Merit of Rhineland-Palatinate.

https://tube.doctors4covidethics.org/videos/watch/7ca43fab-fa9d-46e6-ac7a-a0c739d9e277

This video comes with a very serious warning that needs to be heeded. Supporting papers summarised in the video

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0249499

https://academic.oup.com/cid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/cid/ciab465/6279075

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.06.005

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352396421002036

More research, etc.:

https://www.bitchute.com/video/sDC6chBPxm4q/

https://www.zerohedge.com/covid-19/im-choosing-risk-getting-covid-over-half-health-care-workers-california-hospitals-refuse

Corona Committee Sitting #30 - Evidence Gathering

https://www.express.co.uk/news/world/1384728/Covid-vaccine-latest-side-effects-Pfizer-jab-23-dead-Norway-coronavirus-update/amp

https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/deaths-injuries-following-covid-vaccine-cdc/

"We are in a pandemic of undertreatment," said intensive care specialist, Pierre Kory, M.D., Former Director of the Center for Trauma and Life Support at the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and winner of the British Medical Association's President's Choice Award.

In his view, long-haul Covid and hospitalizations are caused by undertreatment and a lack of an effective prevention strategy.

The effect of Covid on kids was an impassioned topic addressed by Mark McDonald, double board-certified child and adult psychiatrist. "My concern is that the developmental stage that children need to go through, babies, toddlers, young adults, is being foreclosed on them," he ominously observed. "My concern is that we are building a generation of young people who are so traumatized that they will never fully recover from this." He cited a study recently published by Brown University Department of Pediatrics that found a 20-point drop in the IQs of babies born after January 1, 2020 compared to those born before.

"The key to everything is early treatment," said Dr. Kory. "If you institute systematically early treatment upon first symptoms," he explained, "the amount of people who would require hospital would go away. The amount of transmissions would go away." He firmly believes we can control the pandemic through effective early treatment.

"The Covid-recovered, which is over 30 percent of the population, has no reason to get the vaccine...They have a near-zero chance of getting reinfected. And they have a significant risk of harm from the vaccines." Dr Urso

- https://www.palmerfoundation.com.au/covid-conversations-8-prominent-doctors-scientists-engage-in-a-remarkable-exchange/

"UNDER-REPORTING OF ADVERSE REACTIONS AND DEATH

According to <u>a study done by Harvard</u> (at the commission of our own government), less than 1% of all adverse reactions to vaccines are actually submitted to the National Vaccine Adverse Events Reports System (VAERS) - read page 6 at the link above.

While the problems with VAERS have not been fixed (as you can read about in <u>this letter to the CDC</u>), at the time of this writing <u>VAERS reports</u> over 2,200 deaths from the current covid vaccines, as well as close to 60,000 adverse reactions.

"VAERS data released today showed 50,861 reports of adverse events following COVID vaccines, including 2,249 deaths and 7,726 serious injuries between Dec. 14, 2020 and March 26, 2021."

And those numbers don't include (what is currently) 578 cases of Bell's Palsy.

If those numbers are still only 1% of the total adverse reactions (or .8 to 2% of what this study published recently in the JAMA found), you can do the math, but that equates to somewhere around 110,000 to 220,000 deaths from the vaccines to date, and a ridiculous number of adverse reactions.

Bet you didn't see that on the news.

That death number would currently still be lower than the 424,000 deaths from medical errors that happen every year (which you probably also don't hear about), but we are not even six months into the rollout of these vaccines yet. If you want a deeper dive into the problems with the VAERS reporting system, you can check this out, or check this out.

"THE OVERALL DEATH RATE FROM COVID

According to the CDC's own numbers, covid has a 99.74% survival rate.

Why would I take a risk on a product, that doesn't stop infection or transmission, to help me overcome a cold that has a .26% chance of killing me--actually in my age range is has about a .1% chance of killing me (and .01% chance of killing my kids), but let's not split hairs here.

With a bar (death rate) that low, we will be in lockdown every year...i.e. forever. But wait, what about the 500,000 plus deaths, that's alarming right? "

- From https://www.deconstructingconventional.com/post/18-reason-i-won-t-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine